IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THRUSDAY, THE NINETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 2255 of 2001**

Between:

Tirumala Reddy Ramana, S/o.Late Suryanarayana

R/o. Thotavari Veedhi, Simhachalam

Visakhapatnam

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1. The Commissioner of Endowments,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road,

Hyderabad.

2. The Executive Officer,

Sri Varaha Lakshmi Narasimha Swamyvari Devasthanams,

Simhachalam, Visakhapatnam

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, direction or order, preferably in the nature of Mandamus declaring the inaction on the part of the 2nd respondent in not implementing the Resolution passed

by the Trust Board dated 31.8.2000 to the 2nd respondent to handover canteen No.II in favour of the petitioner for a period of 3 years by accepting the monthly rent at Rs.15,000/- and further declare the inaction on the part of the 2nd respondent in not implementing the order passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.21807 of 1996 dated 14.10.1996 as arbitrary in nature, being violative of Arts. 14 and 21 of the Constitution and further declare the inaction on the part of the 1st respondent in not considering Memorandum No.A1/12645/2000 dated 18.12.2000 as arbitrary in nature; and being violative of Art.21 of the Constitution.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.PARAVASTU KRISHNA (NOT PRESENT)**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS**

**The Court made the following :**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.2255 OF 2001

ORDER:

This is an old matter listed for hearing on 8.6.2005. When the case was called on 8.6.2005, learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation. Hence the matter was directed to be listed on 9.6.2005 "for dismissal". Today also though the matter is listed under the caption for dismissal, learned counsel for the petitioner is absent and there is no representation.

The Writ Petition is therefore dismissed for default/non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

9.6.2005

bnr

To

1. The Commissioner of Endowments,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road,

Hyderabad.

2. The Executive Officer,

Sri Varaha Lakshmi Narasimha Swamyvari Devasthanams,

Simhachalam, Visakhapatnam

3. 2 CCs to G.P. for Endowments, High Court Bldgs., Hyderabad (OUT)

4. 2 CD copies.